Best practices for reducing academic dishonesty using gesture biometrics and a modified code of conduct.
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“If it is so easy it can’t be wrong”

Background

This quote may very well sum up how students feel about cheating in online courses and maybe their thoughts for all courses. Typically there are few if any deterrents to prevent students from engaging in academic dishonesty. Beyond using a pin or password which is easily circumvented, schools generally do not announce their policies, highlight the penalties for academic dishonesty, even define what academic dishonesty means.

Many students are exposed to other students who speak about using essay mills, or other methods of cheating. A recent article appearing in the November 12, 2010 issue of the Chronicle titled the “The Shadow Scholar” suggests that the business for academic dishonesty is booming. It is just not essays that are paid for. Students now provide these companies with their passwords and user names to access key documents and online exams. The authors also stated they are also increasingly asked to contribute weekly to online discussions with other students as these contribute to final grades. So the moral majority of our students see these other students getting better marks, spending less time studying and not getting caught. In some ways it may be perceived that the schools are allowing this to happen, so maybe I should do this also? They certainly don’t want to be left behind. Over time without strong deterrents and constant exposure to cheating friends even this moral majority will be tempted to cheat.

Academic integrity concerns are real amongst administration and faculty. Several recent studies described by McNabb and Olmstead within the University of Texas campuses found that:

- 76 faculty members with experience teaching both on-campus and online were surveyed. Forty-three percent indicated a belief that undergraduates cheated often or very often, and another 43% thought they cheated occasionally. Additionally, 68% believed graduate students cheated at least occasionally.
- About one-half of faculty members said they believed that the likelihood of a cheating in an online course was the same as in an on campus course. Nevertheless, 26% of faculty members thought that undergraduate students were more likely to cheat in an online course, and 13% believed the same about graduate students.

Looking at online courses versus campus courses, Olson and Hale surveyed 51 administrators at five campuses within the UT System in 2000, and 26 at the same campuses in 2006. Their survey explored attitudes toward online learning, including academic integrity. In both studies, more than 60% of administrators indicated they were more concerned about controlling cheating in online courses than they were for on campus courses.

An example of the level of serious cheating found by self report from the McCabe and Trevino (1993) research and in their 1997 update is found in Table 1:
It is interesting to note the influence of a student code of conduct on cheating, showing it reduces serious cheating from 71% to 54% in the latest reported analysis. This difference of nearly 30% and 17% over two time periods show that schools with a code of conduct have less academic dishonesty. These schools usually publish their student code of conduct in student handbooks and make it available in their web sites. In these schools, because most students will not read the code of conduct, the impact of reducing dishonesty by creating this moral obligation is non-existent. A significant impact can be realized only if the school has their students read and then sign a contract agreeing to abide by the code of conduct. There has to be an affinity, an association between the student and the school’s code of conduct. The following research confirms why all schools should be using a process where students must read and then sign a code of conduct.

**Current environment**

In concepts advanced by Dr Dan Ariely the (James B Duke Professor of Psychology and Behavioral Economics Duke University, best selling author and researcher in the field of dishonesty) the reasons why dishonesty occurs is multi variant. The mere fact of presenting a student code of conduct was enough to remind students at the right time of their moral obligation. Dr Ariely suggests the following:

**Assumption 1**: Almost everyone cheats a little - Enron, Worldcom, Madoff, cheating on taxes, employee theft, academic dishonesty and financial aid dishonesty, insurance fraud, illegal downloading of music and software and more…

**Assumption 2**: If we understand the motive, we can develop strategies to reduce/eliminate dishonesty in distance education/online courses.

His work suggests that at least two reasons exist why people cheat. These include:

1. **External rewards/rational model**
   - Exist when there’s greater rewards, (more money, more self enhancement) than risks
The solution to reduce cheating for these students includes:

- Raising the risk of getting caught by increasing the probability of getting caught or increase the severity of getting caught:
  - In DE, we must create the highest possible deterrent and announce this so students know there's a system in place. For example the use of gesture biometrics using the BioSig-ID software system has proven to be effective at authenticating student ID and preventing un-authorized access in numerous studies.
  - Schools have to increase the knowledge that enforcement of academic cheating and financial fraud exists

2. Psychological/internal social norms model

- Cultural/socialization and lack of self awareness. Everyone seems to do it why not me? It is acceptable, I don’t know any better, and I know people who have cheated so it’s OK…
- Degree of Proximity – the degree to which they identify with “those others” (Wenzel et al 2004) - as the information becomes more ambiguous and proximity gets further away dishonesty increases.

The solutions to reduce cheating for these students include:

- Reach out to get closer with students, deliver more touches and interventions (e-mails, live chats, surveys, feedback, post your picture, texting…) (also a recipe for retention)
- Draw attention to moral standards/enhance the social norm - have them sign the student code of conduct

Dr Ariely developed several experiments that offer practical methods to reduce academic cheating:

#1 Method n=229 students involving 2 tasks in a booklet

- In the first task, respondents wrote down either the names of ten books they had read in over the summer (no moral reminder) or the Ten Commandments (moral reminder).
- The second separate task consisted of two sheets of paper: a test sheet and an answer sheet.
- At the end of the math test, the experimenter verified their answers on the matrix task and wrote down the number of correctly solved questions on the answer sheet in the booklet.
- Participants indicated the total number of correctly solved answers on the answer sheet and then tore out the original test sheet from the booklet and placed it in their belongings (to recycle later), thus providing them with an opportunity to cheat. They were paid on the number of correct answers by self report.
• Results = No cheating versus cheating = significant difference \( p < .023 \)

**# 2 Method n=207 students 2 tasks, repeat of the conditions used in Method # 1**

Except: instead of the students writing down the 10 Commandments they were asked to sign a statement in which they declared their commitment to honesty by reading and then signing a student code of conduct.

• Participants printed and signed their names below the statement

• Results = a higher statistical significant difference \( p < .001 \) between cheating versus no cheating in the groups that signed honor code

**Best practices using gesture biometrics and uSignOnline:**

Best practices really involve a combination of two technology solutions.

1. Producing the highest possible deterrent to reduce academic dishonesty by ensuring only the right student gains access to the materials, discussion rooms or exams. This is accomplished by the use of an authentication solution such as gesture biometrics. Biometric Signature ID has proven their BioSig-ID™ solution works to authenticate students from remote locations from prestigious schools like University of Maryland University College, 9 campuses within the University of Texas systems, all colleges within the Houston Community College District and 6 colleges within the EduKan consortia. Students, who completed user surveys indicated they had a 98% positive experience, enjoyed using the product with 45% even finding it entertaining to use. Independent lab tests of the BioSig-ID confirm that the accuracy of BioSig-ID is 99.97% and exceeds the national NIST standard by a 3 fold factor.

2. Have all the students sign their commitment to student honesty by signing a student code of conduct. Statistically significant reductions in student dishonesty will be the result. The school will now have a legally binding contract for future follow up if necessary. Biometric Signature ID has developed a customized solution called **uSignOnline™** that allows all students to sign a code of conduct online from the schools web site in seconds.

This unique solution permits the school to place their student code of conduct on their web site and have their students sign a web form using their regular mouse or touchpad. This simple process takes on average 2-3 minutes (reading and signing) and creates a PDF contract that is as legal as using pen and paper signatures. A copy of the signed form is auto e-mailed to the student and to the school or instructor with the time and date stamp, IP address, unique user ID and web session ID as proof of acceptance of the terms of the contract.

USignOnline can also be used to authorize acceptance of next actions or contract terms for many other documents. For example, getting students to commit to signing up for online courses, signing FERPA forms etc... USignOnline saves up to 80% of the time and resources that is required compared to more traditional methods of scanning faxing or mailing signed documents.
Any code of conduct...

...Any code of conduct...

...Any code of conduct...

...Any code of conduct...
UsignOnline Reports

uSignOnline™ Audit Trail Log

Following is the record of when an individual user(s) completed a document or agreement using signatures created with uSignOnline™. These records form an electronic signature and record compliant to the Electronic Signatures in the Global and National Commerce Act, Section 101, indicating the user's acceptance of the terms and conditions.

On 3/3/2011, the BSR Server stored the signatures for the user with User Name: Jim Helans, Unique ID: 7f6b6efae6de432eb144ae1e0a83ee1 at IP Address: 71.123.195.70 from the webserver: fe80::f72f:564e:ec56%12 with SessionID: Sh3tUs4503V04J5Saxlzz.

Individual Signature Report

User Name: Abraham Lincoln (carol.eaton@biosig-id.com)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ser Name</th>
<th>Signature Date</th>
<th>Document Name</th>
<th>Signature Data</th>
<th>Filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Lincoln <a href="mailto:carol.eaton@biosig-id.com">carol.eaton@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>4/18/2011 7:47:59 PM</td>
<td>Student Ferpa Form</td>
<td>Abraham Lincoln</td>
<td>Alpena Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Lincoln <a href="mailto:carol.eaton@biosig-id.com">carol.eaton@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>4/19/2011 9:26:10 PM</td>
<td>Student Ferpa Form</td>
<td>Blah</td>
<td>Alpena Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Lincoln <a href="mailto:carol.eaton@biosig-id.com">carol.eaton@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>4/20/2011 6:50:51 PM</td>
<td>Student Ferpa Form</td>
<td>Abraham Lincoln</td>
<td>Alpena Community College</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### BioSig-ID Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Name</th>
<th>Time Stamp</th>
<th>Signature Data</th>
<th>Server IP</th>
<th>Client IP</th>
<th>IP Trace Route</th>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff1024@biosig-id.com">jeff1024@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>3/1/2011 4:25:05 AM</td>
<td>fe80::c18e:f72f:5d4e:sec56%</td>
<td>71.123.195.70/24</td>
<td>71.123.195.70</td>
<td>[...]</td>
<td>Enroll</td>
<td>CreateUser</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff1024@biosig-id.com">jeff1024@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>3/1/2011 4:25:19 AM</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>fe80::c18e:f72f:5d4e:sec56%</td>
<td>71.123.195.70/24</td>
<td>71.123.195.70</td>
<td>[...]</td>
<td>Enroll</td>
<td>CreateProfile</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff1024@biosig-id.com">jeff1024@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>3/1/2011 4:25:29 AM</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>fe80::c18e:f72f:5d4e:sec56%</td>
<td>71.123.195.70/24</td>
<td>71.123.195.70</td>
<td>[...]</td>
<td>Enroll</td>
<td>CreateProfile</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff1024@biosig-id.com">jeff1024@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>3/1/2011 4:25:40 AM</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>fe80::c18e:f72f:5d4e:sec56%</td>
<td>71.123.195.70/24</td>
<td>71.123.195.70</td>
<td>[...]</td>
<td>Enroll</td>
<td>CreateProfile</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff1024@biosig-id.com">jeff1024@biosig-id.com</a></td>
<td>3/1/2011 4:26:21 AM</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>fe80::c18e:f72f:5d4e:sec56%</td>
<td>71.123.195.70/24</td>
<td>71.123.195.70</td>
<td>[...]</td>
<td>Validate</td>
<td>ValidateProfile</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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